RECEIVED

NOV 2 0 1997

Karen B. Possner Vice President-Strategic Policy

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY **BELLSOUTH**

Suite 900 CKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL 1133-21st Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036-3351 202 463-4160 202 463-4637 (fax) Internet: possner.karen@bsc.bls.com

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

November 20, 1997

By Hand

Ms. Meredith J. Jones, Chief Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, N.W., Ninth Floor Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: In re Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations and In re Implementation of Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Restrictions on Over-the-Air Reception Devices: Television Broadcast Service and Multichannel Multipoint Distribution Service, IB Docket No. 95-59 and CS Docket No. 96-83 11 FCC Rcd 19276 (1996)

Petition for Reconsideration
Request for Clarification and Expeditious Action

Dear Ms. Jones:

Thank you for the opportunity to meet with you and your staff on November 6th concerning BellSouth Corporation's ("BellSouth") pending Petition for Reconsideration ("Petition") in the above-referenced over-the-air antenna preemption.¹ As we discussed, BellSouth is concerned that recent Commission adjudicatory decisions,² while achieving the

¹ Petitions for reconsideration also were filed by seven other parties, each of which largely espoused the positions advocated by BellSouth. On December 4, 1996 BellSouth filed Reply Comments in this proceeding.

² See Victor Frankfurt, Vernon Hills, Illinois, DA 97-2305, released October 31, 1997 ("Frankfurt"); CS Wireless Systems, Inc. d/b/a OmniVision of San Antonio, DA 97-2187, released October 14, 1997 ("CS Wireless"); Jay Lubliner and Deborah Galvin, DA 97-2188, released October 14, 1997 ("Lubliner"); Michael J. MacDonald, DA 97-2189, released October 14, 1997 ("MacDonald"); and Star Lambert and Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association of America, DA 97-1554, released July 22, 1997 ("Star Lambert"). BellSouth filed Comments in all but the Frankfurt proceeding, in each case urging the Commission to act on the Petition prior to taking action on individual antenna preemption petitions. There are at least four other petitions for declaratory ruling pending before the FCC. See Public Notice, DA 97-2135, released October 3, 1997 (regarding CSR-5115-O); Public Notice, DA 97-1015, released May 14, 1997 (regarding CSR-4974-O); Public Notice, DA 97-1014, released May 14, 1997 (regarding CSR-5001-0); Public Notice, DA 97-1841, released August 28, 1997 (regarding CSR-5074-0).

Meredith J. Jones, Chief November 20, 1997 Page 2

correct result, suggest that certain safety-based restrictions could be sustained if they are merely "clearly defined," regardless of whether such restrictions are designed to advance a "compelling" safety objective. During our discussion, you offered to issue a written clarification on this point stating the Bureau's position that any safety-based antenna restrictions must be "legitimate" or "valid." Further, we are encouraged by the Bureau's plan to have the Commission act on the petitions for reconsideration by the end of the calendar year or in early 1998.

In our *Petition*, BellSouth urged the Commission to modify Section 1.4000 to state that the safety objective be "compelling" rather than "clearly defined." As BellSouth stated:

whether a purported safety objective is clearly defined should not and cannot determine the legitimacy of such an objective. Simply put, a state or local government restriction may be capable of *clearly defining* a safety objective, even though the stated objective may lack merit.

Petition at 14-15 (emphasis in original; footnote omitted). Notwithstanding our recent discussions during which you equated "clearly defined" with the terms "legitimate" or "valid," BellSouth continues to believe that the standard should be "compelling," as demonstrated in its Petition, and that the interim clarification would be without prejudice to the disposition of that issue, and others, in the pending Petition.

We believe the Bureau's clarification will lead to greater certainty in the video distribution marketplace, thereby facilitating competition to the benefit of all video consumers. Accordingly, BellSouth looks forward to receiving the requested clarification and prompt action on its *Petition* in the above-referenced proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

cc: William H. Johnson (by hand)

Eloise Gore (by hand)

Nancy Markowitz (by hand)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Brett Kilbourne of BellSouth Corporation, do hereby certify that the foregoing letter was served on the parties listed below by first class mail, postage prepaid, this 20th day of November, 1997.

Paul J. Sinderbrand, Esq.
Jennifer A. Burton, Esq.
Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Counsel for The Wireless Cable Association
International, Inc., National Wireless Holdings,
Inc. and People's Choice TV, Inc.

Andrew Kreig President The Wireless Cable Association International, Inc. 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 810 Washington, D.C. 20036

Leslie A. Vial
Bell Atlantic Corporation
and Bell Atlantic Video Services Company
1320 North Courthouse Road, 8th Floor
Arlington, Virginia 22201

Gerald Stevens-Kittner, Esq.
Senior Vice President - Regulatory Affairs
CAI Wireless Systems, Inc.
CS Wireless Systems, Inc.
2101 Wilson Boulevard
Suite 100
Arlington, Virginia 22201

Richard G. Warren Bell Atlantic Corporation (formerly NYNEX Corporation) 1113 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604 Sarah R. Thomas, Esq.
Senior Attorney
SBC Communications, Inc. (formerly Pacific Telesis Legal Group)
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1522A
San Francisco, California 94105

George A. Hanover
Vice President, Engineering
Joe Peck, Acting Director, Government and
Legal Affairs
Consumer Electronics Manufacturers Association
2500 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201

James F. Rogers, Esq.
Steven H. Schulman, Esq.
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20004
Counsel for DIRECTV, Inc. and Hughes Network
Systems, Inc.

Werner K. Hartenberger, Esq.
J. G. Harrington, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for the NBC Television Network
Affiliates Association

Kurt A. Wimmer, Esq.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20044-7566
Counsel for the CBS Television Network Affiliates
Association

Wade H. Hargrove, Esq.
Mark J. Prak, Esq.
Brooks Pierce McLendon Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 1800
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
Counsel for the ABC Television Network Affiliates
Association

Lawrence R. Sidman, Esq.
Leo Fitzsimon, Esq.
John Tritak, Esq.
Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand, Chtd.
901 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Counsel for Philips Electronics N.A.
Corporation and Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.

Diane S. Killory, Esq.
Joyce H. Jones, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006
Counsel for the Satellite Broadcasting and
Communications Association of America

Todd D. Gray, Esq.
Margaret L. Miller, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, pllc
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-6802
Counsel for the Alliance for Higher Education *et al.*

Henry L. Baumann, Esq.
Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Barry D. Umansky, Esq.
Deputy General Counsel
National Association of Broadcasters
1771 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jack Richards, Esq.
John Reardon, Esq.
Keller and Heckman LLP
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001
Counsel for the National Rural Telecommunications
Cooperative

Brett Kilbourne